Pages 1 - 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable Charles R. Breyer, Judge

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS. NO. CR 18-00577 CRB

MICHAEL RICHARD LYNCH,

Defendant.

San Francisco, California Wednesday, October 7, 2020

TRANSCRIPT OF ZOOM WEBINAR PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES: (via Zoom)

For Plaintiff:

DAVID L. ANDERSON United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102

BY: WILLIAM FRENTZEN
ROBERT LEACH

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS

For Defendant Michael Richard Lynch:

CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP 31 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019

BY: CHRISTOPHER J. MORVILLO

ATTORNEY AT LAW

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE)

Reported By: Marla F. Knox, RPR, CRR, RMR

Official Reporter

1	APPEARANCES:	(CONT'D)		
2	For Defendant	Mighael B	ichard Ismah.	
3	FOI Delendant	MICHAEL K	_	
4			STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036	
5		BY:	REID H. WEINGARTEN ATTORNEY AT LAW	
6				
7	For Defendant	Stephen K	eith Chamberlain:	
8			BIRD MARELLA BOXER WOLPER NES DROOKS LINCENBERG RHOW	SIM
9			1875 Century Park East - 23rd Los Angeles, California 9006	
10		BY:		,
11			ATTORNET AT DAM	
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
23 24				
25				

Wednesday - October 7, 2020 1 2:19 p.m. 2 PROCEEDINGS ---000---3 Calling criminal action CR18-0577, USA THE CLERK: 4 5 versus Michael Richard Lynch. 6 Counsel, please state your appearances. 7 MR. FRENTZEN: Good afternoon again, Your Honor, William Frentzen for the Government. And I have co-counsel 8 with me. 9 10 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 11 MR. WEINGARTEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor, it is Reid Weingarten in Washington D.C. Good to see you. 12 13 THE COURT: Nice to see you. MR. MORVILLO: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Chris 14 15 Morvillo for Dr. Lynch from Connecticut. 16 MR. LINCENBERG: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Gary 17 Lincenberg on behalf of Steve Chamberlin. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So I received a -- today a status 19 report indicating that there was -- well, it indicated that 20 there were ongoing discussions with respect to the -- missed --21 Dr. Lynch's return to the United States or presence in the United States. 22 23 And my first question is: What exactly is the status of the proceedings in England and, you know, where are we on that? 24

I quess, Mr. Weingarten or Mr. Morvillo, go ahead.

25

MR. MORVILLO: Your Honor, the extradition is under way. The Government has filed -- the Defense has filed their defense to the extradition over the summer. The Government's response is due, I believe, a week from Friday. The Defense will have an opportunity to respond to that.

And there is a hearing currently set in London for the week of January 11th. I think it is a three or four-day hearing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. However, what the status report indicated to me was that matters would be expedited if, in fact, the Court could give certain assurances. And somebody explain exactly what that meant.

MR. FRENTZEN: Your Honor, if I may, from our perspective, I think one of the issues raised by the Defense in the United Kingdom was pretrial detention as -- of Dr. Lynch as rationale against extradition.

And it was the Government's view that, you know, while we can't agree fully on all conditions, with Counsel for Dr. Lynch, that we believe that the Court is going to be able to fashion conditions of release of some variety such that even if we have to go through the full extradition process to get Dr. Lynch here, that, you know, we envision him being in some sort of situation, perhaps, without travel or with monitoring.

And I'm not asking Counsel on the other side to comment on that. I'm simply saying that from the Government's

perspective, the Court could issue a preliminary ruling that, whatever the conditions are that we put in place, that the Court would not be detaining Dr. Lynch pretrial, you know, I mean, of course, unless he does something crazy. But that is a whole other matter if he violates.

So we thought it useful to have that -- to request that from the Court. We asked for the hearing because we recognize this is an unusual posture, but we think that it could at least facilitate the discussion in the UK around the extradition.

THE COURT: Well, let me -- so, in other words, what I understand the Government to be saying to me is that in the Government's view, there could be a set of conditions -- short of confinement -- that would assure his presence for the proceedings and that he would not constitute a danger or risk of flight.

Is that -- I think in a sense that's what -- that is what the Government is saying that is its position.

MR. FRENTZEN: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And is asking the Court whether the Court could assure the parties that it would, in that regard, follow the recommendation of the Government. I think that's what is being asked.

Is that right, Mr. Morvillo and Mr. Weingarten? It seems to me that that's what you are seeking?

MR. MORVILLO: That's --

I don't know whether you were seeking it, 1 THE COURT: 2 by the way. Maybe it is the Government that is seeking it, but that would be -- that's what strikes me as what is on the 3 table. Is that right? 4 5 MR. MORVILLO: I think, Your Honor, what I'm hearing is the Government is asking you as a part of their strategy in 6 7 London to make a statement, a preliminary ruling, that Dr. Lynch will not be detained. Obviously, we would not object 8 to that. 9 THE COURT: And that would be in aid of the 10 11 extradition or would it be in aid of trying to resolve the matter short of extradition? 12 13 MR. MORVILLO: We are hearing the Government -- and obviously the Government can speak for itself -- this is part 14 15 of their strategy to litigate in London. 16 Our only involvement here is we would never object to the Court saying, of course, Mr. Lynch will not be detained when he 17 18 comes --Okay. But you are not saying -- you are 19 THE COURT: not saying that this would be part of a process by which the 20 21 formal requirements of extradition could be waived? 22 MR. MORVILLO: We are not having that conversation 23 with the Government right now, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So this would be simply in aid of 24 25 what the Government's position is and whether the Court would

```
adopt it in terms of -- if there is an extradition.
 1
              MR. MORVILLO: That's my understanding.
 2
              THE COURT: And absent any other circumstances that
 3
     are not yet known -- I mean, something unknown. Is that right?
 4
          In other words, I don't know what the Government knows.
 5
     The Government is saying: Based on what we know, this is what
 6
 7
     our position is. And, Judge, would you go along with that?
     Would you say that that's appropriate? Would you commit?
 8
          I think it is a commitment, isn't it?
 9
              MR. MORVILLO: That's what I'm hearing.
10
11
              THE COURT: That's what I'm hearing.
              MR. MORVILLO: The same thing that you are --
12
13
              THE COURT: And the answer is yes. Yes, I will make
     that commitment based on the representation of the Government
14
15
     that based upon what they presently know, they wouldn't seek
16
     detention and the Court would not impose detention.
17
              MR. MORVILLO: And, of course, we would not object to
18
     that.
                               I mean, why would you?
19
              THE COURT:
                         No.
20
              MR. MORVILLO: Yes. Why would we?
21
              THE COURT: Why would you?
          All right. So is there anything else for me to do in that
22
23
     regard?
              I mean, I made my commitment.
              MR. FRENTZEN: Your Honor, I think --
24
25
              THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead.
```

```
MR. FRENTZEN: And that may be sufficient. And I will
 1
 2
     confer with Counsel. It may be appropriate that we send the
     Court a proposed order after we run it by Defense Counsel that
 3
     would be very short and say essentially what I think the Court
 4
 5
    has said.
                          Okay. And if so, I will sign it.
              THE COURT:
 6
              MR. FRENTZEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. So moving ahead, we still have
 8
     the -- we are still talking about not knowing what is going to
 9
     happen to Dr. Lynch until some time in January; is that
10
     correct?
11
               Is that a correct -- I'm now looking at re-- what do
     I do about the next date, looking at that kind of time
12
     schedule?
13
          Do I -- have I got it right? Are we talking about
14
15
     January?
16
              MR. WEINGARTEN: Maybe Mr. Morvillo addresses that
17
     one.
18
              THE COURT: Did you hear me, Mr. Morvillo?
              MR. MORVILLO: No, I'm sorry, Your Honor. My video
19
20
     cut out and I was --
21
              THE COURT: No, no. You sort of disappeared from the
22
     galley -- from the galley here.
          My question is: Is that it seems that the only thing left
23
    now for me to do is to -- is to figure out another date for a
24
25
     status; and that what I'm hearing is that that won't be a
```

```
meaningful date unless it is subsequent in the hearing in
 1
     London.
 2
              MR. MORVILLO: It is my recollection, Your Honor, that
 3
     you scheduled a status conference for this case for
 4
 5
     February 3rd or 4th, I believe.
                         Oh, I will leave it there. I will leave
 6
              THE COURT:
 7
     it there.
                Okay.
          Mr. Lincenberg, you have been eloquent this morning.
 8
     there anything else? You know I will continue your matter as
 9
10
     well, and I will exclude time in light of these circumstances.
11
              MR. LINCENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Anything else?
12
              MR. LINCENBERG:
13
                               No.
              MR. FRENTZEN: Not from the Government. Thank you,
14
15
     Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Weingarten and Morvillo, all
17
     right?
18
              MR. WEINGARTEN: Nothing else from us.
              MR. MORVILLO: All good, Your Honor.
19
20
              THE COURT: Okay.
                                 Thank you very much.
21
              MR. FRENTZEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
22
                   (Proceedings adjourned at 2:28 p.m.)
23
                                ---000---
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER We certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Thursday, October 8, 2020 DATE: Marla Krox Marla F. Knox, RPR, CRR U.S. Court Reporter